


- Some Departments undertake Non-Examined Assessments (NEA's) as part of their GCSE, A Level or BTEC course.
- These are internally marked and then externally moderated by the public examination boards.
- Candidates will be provided with their internally awarded marks and are able request a review of this mark should they wish to.
- This flowchart outlines the process in more detail and provides candidates with an understanding of the timeframes involved.
- Please note that if a candidate does request a review of their mark, the mark may either go up or down.
- Gordon's School is not obliged to accept the outcome of a marking review and, where there is disagreement between the original marker and the reviewer, the Head of Centre will make the final decision about which result to submit to the exam board.
- Any mark submitted, reviewed or original, can still be altered through exam board moderation and post-results services.



* A reviewer will be instructed to ensure the candidate's mark is consistent with the centre's marking standard. The reviewer is required to correct any marking error. The three types of marking error are:

- An administrative error
- A failure to apply the marking criteria to the evidence generated by the candidate where that failure did not involve the exercise of academic judgement
- An unreasonable exercise of academic judgement

Candidates **must** select at least one of these errors to support their application for a review and **explain** the way(s) in which they believe the marking error has occurred.